

1. a) State the definition of a transitive relation.

A relation  $\sim$  on the set  $S$  is transitive iff  $\forall a, b, c \in S$ ,  
 $\underline{a \sim b} \wedge \underline{b \sim c} \Rightarrow \underline{a \sim c}$ .

Great

- b) Give an example of a relation on the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  which is symmetric but not reflexive.

$$R = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$$

if the relation were reflexive, it would contain  
(1, 1) and/or (2, 2).

Excellent!

2. a) Suppose that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on the set  $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and that  $[a] = \{a, b\}$  and  $[d] = \{d, e\}$ . Write the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  corresponding to  $\sim$ .

$$\underline{\{\{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d, e\}\}}$$

- b) Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is the partition  $\{\{1\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 5\}\}$  of the set  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Write the equivalence class of 2 under the corresponding relation.

$$\underline{[2] = \{2, 4\}}$$

Great

3. Let  $R$  be a relation on  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \Leftrightarrow a - b = c - d$ . Determine whether  $R$  is reflexive, symmetric, or transitive, and support your conclusions well.

### REFLEXIVE

For  $R$  to be reflexive then  $(a, b) \sim (a, b)$

So,  $a - b = a - b$  and this is true  $\therefore R$  is reflexive

### SYMMETRIC

For  $R$  to be symmetric then  $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \Rightarrow (c, d) \sim (a, b)$

So,  $a - b = c - d$  which we know is true. Then we have that  $c - d = a - b$  which we also know is true because it is the same as  $a - b = c - d$  just written opposite.  $\therefore R$  is symmetric

### TRANSITIVE

For  $R$  to be transitive,  $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$  and  $(c, d) \sim (e, f) \Rightarrow (a, b) \sim (e, f)$

So, we know  $a - b = c - d$  and  $c - d = e - f$ . We can

do some substitution because  $c - d = e - f$  and  $a - b$ , and we get that  $a - b = e - f$   $\therefore R$  is transitive

$R$  is an equivalence relation because it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive

Excellent!

4. a) The sum of the degrees of the points in a graph is always even.

Let's induct on the number of edges in the graph.

If  $n=1$ , there are 2 vertices of degree 1 (and possibly others of degree 0) for a total degree of 2, which is even.

Now suppose it's true when you have  $k$  edges, and consider a graph with  $k+1$  edges. Adding the  $k+1^{\text{st}}$  edge adds one to the degree of each vertex in that edge, so adds 2 to the even sum of the degrees of vertices in our graph with  $k$  edges, preserving an even sum.  $\square$

b) Suppose that a graph has  $n$  vertices. What is the largest number of them that can be of degree 3?

For  $n$  less than 4, it's 0 because there aren't enough other vertices.

For even  $n \geq 4$ , all  $n$  can be of degree 3:  $n=4$



$n=6$



$n=8$



$\vdots$

For odd  $n \geq 5$ ,  $n-1$  can be of degree 3. It can't be all  $n$  since that would violate part a, but we can add a vertex to the lower outside edge in the scheme above to attain  $n-1$ .  $\square$

5. a) If two relations  $R$  and  $S$  on  $A$  are reflexive, is  $R \cup S$  reflexive?

$\forall a \in A$ , since  $R$  is reflexive we have  $(a, a) \in R$  and thus  $(a, a) \in R \cup S$ , so  $R \cup S$  is reflexive.  $\square$

b) If two relations  $R$  and  $S$  on  $A$  are transitive, is  $R \cup S$  transitive?

No. For a counterexample consider  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , with  $R = \{(1, 2)\}$  and  $S = \{(2, 3)\}$ . We have  $R$  and  $S$  both vacuously transitive, but  $(1, 2) \in R \cup S$  and  $(2, 3) \in R \cup S$  even though  $(1, 3) \notin R \cup S$ .  $\square$