

Problem Set 4

Prop: If $\{a_n\} \rightarrow 0$, then $\{|a_n|\} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: Well, we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an n^* such that
 $n > n^* \Rightarrow |a_n - 0| < \varepsilon$
or $|a_n| < \varepsilon$.

Little lemma: $\|x\| \stackrel{?}{=} |x|$.

$|x| > 0$ by definition of absolute value.

so $\|x\| = |x|$ by definition of absolute value and because $|x| > 0$.

Using our lemma, we can say that $|a_n| = \|a_n\| = \|a_n - 0\|$.

So, since $|a_n| < \varepsilon$,

$\|a_n - 0\| < \varepsilon$, as desired.

Thus, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists n^* \ni n > n^* \Rightarrow \|a_n - 0\| < \varepsilon$,

so $\{|a_n|\}$ converges to 0. \square

Beautiful!

2) Prop: If $\{|a_n|\} \rightarrow 0$, then $\{a_n\} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: We know that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists n^* \ni n > n^* \implies ||a_n| - 0| < \varepsilon$
or $||a_n|| < \varepsilon$.

Lemma: (same as for #1):

$$||a_n|| \stackrel{?}{=} |a_n|$$

$|a_n| > 0$ by definition of absolute value,

so $||a_n|| = |a_n|$ by def. of abs. value and $|a_n| > 0$.

So we can say $||a_n|| = |a_n| = |a_n - 0|$

and since $||a_n|| < \varepsilon$,

$|a_n - 0| < \varepsilon$, as desired.

So, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists n^* \ni n > n^* \implies |a_n - 0| < \varepsilon$.

Thus, $\{a_n\}$ converges to 0. \square

Great job.

3.) Prop: If the sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges to A , then the sequence $\{|a_n|\}$ converges to $|A|$.

Proof: Well, saying that $\{a_n\}$ converges to A means that $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n^* \in \mathbb{N} \ni n > n^* \Rightarrow |a_n - A| < \epsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$|a_n - A| < \epsilon.$$

well, if $a_n, A \geq 0$, then $|a_n| = a_n$ and $|A| = A$, so

$$\| |a_n| - |A| \| = |a_n - A| < \epsilon.$$

$$\text{so } \| |a_n| - |A| \| < \epsilon. \checkmark$$

But if $a_n, A < 0$, then $|a_n| = -a_n$ and $|A| = -A$, so

$$| -|a_n| - -|A| | = |a_n - A| < \epsilon$$

$$| -(|a_n| - |A|) | < \epsilon$$

$$\text{if } |a_n| > |A| \text{ then } -(|a_n| - |A|) < 0$$

$$\text{so } | -(|a_n| - |A|) | = -(-(|a_n| - |A|))$$

$$= (|a_n| - |A|) = \| |a_n| - |A| \|$$

$$\text{so } | -(|a_n| - |A|) | < \epsilon$$

$$\| |a_n| - |A| \| < \epsilon. \checkmark$$

if $|a_n| < |A|$, then $-(|a_n| - |A|) > 0$, so $|a_n| - |A| < 0$

$$| -(|a_n| - |A|) | = -(|a_n| - |A|) = \| |a_n| - |A| \|$$

$$\| |a_n| - |A| \| = | -(|a_n| - |A|) | < \epsilon$$

$$\| |a_n| - |A| \| < \epsilon. \checkmark$$

We don't need to consider if $a_n \geq 0$ and $A < 0$, or $a_n < 0$ and $A \geq 0$ because we know that $\{a_n\} \rightarrow A$, so they are either both ≥ 0 or ≤ 0 . Unless A is 0 but we proved this holds for 0 in problem #1.

Outstanding.

all steps by def of abs. value or transitivity

Prop: IF $\{\lvert a_n \rvert\} \rightarrow \lvert A \rvert \Rightarrow \{a_n\} \rightarrow A$.

False.

Counter example:

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

$$a_n = (-1)^n$$

$$\lvert a_n \rvert = 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$\Rightarrow \underline{\lvert a_n \rvert}$ converges to 1

$$\lvert a_n - 1 \rvert < \epsilon \text{ for all } n$$

$$\lvert 1 - 1 \rvert = \lvert 0 \rvert = 0 < \epsilon$$

But, for a_n to converge to 1

$$\lvert a_n - 1 \rvert < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > n^*$$

But, a_n alternates from -1 to 1 and

$$\lvert -1 - 1 \rvert = \lvert -2 \rvert = 2 \not< \epsilon$$

$\Rightarrow a_n$ does not converge to A . \square

Great

Prop: If the sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges to 0, and the sequence b_n is bounded, then the sequence $\{a_n b_n\}$ converges to 0.

Proof: Well, let some $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists an $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for $n > N_1$ we have $|a_n - 0| < \frac{\epsilon}{K}$, for some $K > 0$. We also know that b_n is bounded so there exists some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|b_n| < M$. Heck, lets let $K = M$, so we have $|a_n - 0| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$ and $|b_n| < M$, but by lemma 2 we have $|a_n - 0| \cdot |b_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{M} \cdot M$ or by theorem 1.8.5.(d) $|(a_n - 0) \cdot b_n| < \epsilon$ or $|(a_n \cdot b_n) - 0| < \epsilon$. So, by the definition of convergence $\{a_n b_n\} \rightarrow 0$. \square

lemma 2: For this we need to prove in $a < b$ and $c < d$ then $ac < bd$ for only 1 case since $|a_n - 0| \geq 0$, $\frac{\epsilon}{M} > 0$, $M \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M > 0$ and $|b_n| \geq 0$. So, let $a < b$ and $c < d$, where $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, $c \geq 0$, $d > 0$ as above. Then by axiom 1a we have $ac < bc$ and $bc < bd$, using transitivity we have $ac < bd$. yes.

e) Prop: If $\{a_n\} \rightarrow 0$ and $\{b_n\}$ is some other sequence,
then $\{a_n b_n\} \rightarrow 0$.

Counterexample: Let $\{a_n\} = \{\frac{1}{n}\}$ and $\{b_n\} = \{n\}$.

Then $\{a_n\} = \{\frac{1}{n}\} \rightarrow 0$.

(prove): Let $n^* < \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Then $n > n^*$ implies $n < \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, or $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$.

So $|\frac{1}{n} - 0| < \epsilon$, as desired.

$\{b_n\}$ diverges.

These satisfy our "if" conditions.

Now: $\{a_n b_n\} \stackrel{?}{\rightarrow} 0$.

We'll show that $\{a_n b_n\}$ actually converges to 1:

Given $\epsilon > 0$, n^* can be any natural number.

Then $0 < \epsilon$, or $|1 - 1| < \epsilon$.

So $|(\frac{1}{n})(n) - 1| < \epsilon$, or $|a_n b_n - 1| < \epsilon$, as desired.

Theorem 2.1.9 says that limits are unique, so $\{a_n b_n\}$ cannot
converge to 0. \nRightarrow . \square

Nice