

Each problem is worth 10 points. Show adequate justification for full credit. Don't panic.

1. State the definition of the limit of a function $f(x)$ as x approaches $+\infty$.

Let $f(x)$ be a function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and D is unbounded above. $f(x)$ has a limit as $x \rightarrow \infty$ if \exists a real number L st. for any $\epsilon > 0$
 \exists a real number $M > 0$ st.

$$|f(x) - L| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } \forall x > M \text{ and } x \in D.$$

Good!

2. a) State the definition of an oscillatory sequence.

A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is oscillatory iff it does not converge, or diverge to $+\infty$ or $-\infty$.

b) Give an example of an oscillatory sequence.

$$a_n = (-1)^n$$

Great

3. a) Give an example of a function that converges to 5 as x approaches $+\infty$.

$$f(x) = 5 \quad ;$$

b) Give an example of a set with exactly two accumulation points.

let $\{z_n\}$ be defined $z_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1}{n+1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

let S be the set $S = \{z_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ then S has two accumulation points.

Excellent!

4. State the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem for Sets.

Any infinite set that is bounded has at least one accumulation point.

Good!

5. Prove directly from the definition that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} c \cdot x = c \cdot a$, where c is a real constant.

Def: $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $x \in D \wedge |x - a| < \delta$
 $\Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then let $\delta = \varepsilon / |c|$

where c is a real constant. From

the def, we know $|x - a| < \delta$,

so we have $|x - a| < \varepsilon / |c|$.

$\Rightarrow |c| |x - a| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |cx - ca| < \varepsilon$.

This means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} c \cdot x = c \cdot a$

since $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta = \varepsilon / |c|$ s.t.

$x \in D \wedge |x - a| < \delta \Rightarrow |cx - ca| < \varepsilon$,

or $|cf(x) - cL| < \varepsilon$, and the

proof is complete.

Well done!

We want:

$$|cx - ca| < \varepsilon$$

$$|c| |x - a| < \varepsilon$$

$$|x - a| < \varepsilon / |c|$$

$$\text{let } \delta = \varepsilon / |c|$$

6. Suppose that f and g are functions with both having domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Prove that if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = A \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = B \text{ then } \lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f \cdot g)(x) = A \cdot B.$$

Note that a must be an accumulation point

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = B$, fix $\varepsilon > 0$. ^{then} $\exists \delta_1 > 0$ s.t.

$$0 < |x - a| < \delta_1 \text{ and } x \in D \Rightarrow |g(x) - B| < \varepsilon.$$

But for $|g(x) - B| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |g(x) - B| \leq |g(x) - B|$, so $|g(x) - B| < \varepsilon$

$$\text{or } |g(x) - B| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |g(x)| < \varepsilon + |B| \quad \text{Let } \varepsilon + |B| = K.$$

Now we also know $\exists \delta_2 > 0$ s.t.

$$0 < |x - a| < \delta_2 \text{ and } x \in D \Rightarrow |f(x) - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2K + 1}$$

and $\exists \delta_3 > 0$ s.t.

$$0 < |x - a| < \delta_3 \text{ and } x \in D \Rightarrow |g(x) - B| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2|A| + 1}$$

Let $\delta = \min \{ \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 \}$. Then

$$0 < |x - a| < \delta \text{ and } x \in D \Rightarrow$$

note $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$

$$|f(x) \cdot g(x) - AB| = |(f(x) \cdot g(x) - g(x) \cdot A) + (g(x) \cdot A - AB)|$$

$$\leq |f(x) \cdot g(x) - g(x) \cdot A| + |g(x) \cdot A - AB| \quad (\text{triangle inequality})$$

$$= |g(x)| |f(x) - A| + |A| |g(x) - B|$$

$$< K \frac{\varepsilon}{2K + 1} + |A| \frac{\varepsilon}{2|A| + 1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

$$\therefore \lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f \cdot g)(x) = A \cdot B$$

□

Nice job!

7. State and prove the Monotone Convergence Theorem (proof of either case is acceptable).

State - If a sequence is monotone and bounded then it converges.

Proof: Case when $\{a_n\}$ is increasing. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Well we know $\{a_n\}$ is bounded so it must have a least upper bound, L , by the Completeness Axiom. This means that $L - \varepsilon$ is not a least upper bound so $\exists n^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{n^*} > L - \varepsilon$. Also since a_n is increasing we know $a_n > a_{n^*} \quad \forall n > n^*$. Additionally, $a_n < L + \varepsilon$ since L is an upper bound so there can be no more points of a_n above it.

Well if we combine these inequalities we have

$L - \varepsilon < a_{n^*} < a_n < L + \varepsilon \quad \forall n > n^*$. Well by transitivity

$$L - \varepsilon < a_n < L + \varepsilon \Rightarrow -\varepsilon < a_n - L < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |a_n - L| < \varepsilon.$$

$\forall n > n^*$. Hey this is the definition of converges. so since

for $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n > n^*$ we know a_n converges. \square

Nice!

8. Using some or all of the axioms:

- (A1) (Closure) $a + b, a \cdot b \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, if $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a = b$ and $c = d$, then $a + c = b + d$ and $a \cdot c = b \cdot d$.
- (A2) (Commutative) $a + b = b + a$ and $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (A3) (Associative) $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$ and $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (A4) (Additive identity) There exists a zero element in \mathbb{R} , denoted by 0, such that $a + 0 = a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (A5) (Additive inverse) For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an element $-a$ in \mathbb{R} , such that $a + (-a) = 0$.
- (A6) (Multiplicative identity) There exists an element in \mathbb{R} , which we denote by 1, such that $a \cdot 1 = a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (A7) (Multiplicative inverse) For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \neq 0$, there exists an element in \mathbb{R} denoted by $\frac{1}{a}$ or a^{-1} , such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$.
- (A8) (Distributive) $a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$ for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (A9) (Trichotomy) For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, exactly one of the following is true: $a = b$, $a < b$, or $a > b$.
- (A10) (Transitive) For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, if $a < b$ and $b < c$, then $a < c$.
- (A11) For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, if $a < b$, then $a + c < b + c$.
- (A12) For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, if $a < b$ and $c > 0$, then $ac < bc$.

Prove that if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then $a < b$ **if and only if** $-a > -b$. Be explicit about which axioms you use.

\Rightarrow As we know $a < b$

Note: $-a$ and $-b$ exist [A5]

$\stackrel{\text{So}}{\Leftrightarrow} a < b$
 $\Leftrightarrow a + (-a) < b + (-a)$ [A11]

$\Leftrightarrow 0 < b + (-a)$ [A5]

$\Leftrightarrow 0 + (-b) < (b + (-a)) + (-b)$ [A11]

$\Leftrightarrow 0 + (-b) < b + ((-a) + (-b))$ [A3]

$\Leftrightarrow (-b) + 0 < b + ((-b) + (-a))$ [A2]

$\Leftrightarrow (-b) + 0 < (b + (-b)) + (-a)$ [A3]

$\Leftrightarrow -b < (b + (-b)) + (-a)$ [A4]

$\Leftrightarrow -b < 0 + (-a)$ [A5]

$\Leftrightarrow -b < (-a) + 0$ [A2]

$\Leftrightarrow -b < -a$ [A4]

Very
Nice

9. Show that if a sequence $\{a_n\}$ diverges to $-\infty$ and there exists some n_1 such that for all $n > n_1$ we have $a_n \geq b_n$, then the sequence $\{b_n\}$ must also diverge to $-\infty$.

Let $M < 0$ be given Theorem

Since $\{a_n\} \rightarrow -\infty \quad \exists n^* \text{ s.t. } a_n < M \text{ for } \forall n > n^*$

but $\exists n_1 \text{ s.t. } a_n \geq b_n \text{ for } \forall n > n_1$

well let $m = \max\{n_1, n^*\}$

and we get $b_n \leq a_n < M$ for all $n > m$

$\therefore b_n < M$ for $\forall M < 0$

Excellent!

So since $b_n < M$ for $\forall M < 0$ we have shown that $\{b_n\} \rightarrow -\infty$ also. * (negative of comparison Theorem.)

10. If the sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges to a nonzero constant A and $a_n \neq 0$ for any n , prove that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{a_n} \right\}$ is bounded.

Well, since $\{a_n\}$ converges to something other than zero and $\{1\}$ converges, then their quotient $\left\{ \frac{1}{a_n} \right\}$ converges too. And since that converges, it's bounded by a theorem proved in class. \square