

1. a) State the definition of a transitive relation.

$$(\forall a, b, c \in A)[aRb \wedge bRc \rightarrow aRc]$$

yes.

- b) Give an example of a relation on the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  which is reflexive but not symmetric.

$$\{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (1, 3)\}$$

If symmetric would have  $(3, 1)$ .

Great!

2. a) Suppose that  $\equiv$  is the relation on the set  $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  defined by  $\equiv = \{(a,a), (a,b), (a,c), (b,a), (b,b), (b,c), (c,a), (c,b), (c,c), (d,d), (e,e)\}$ . Write the equivalence classes corresponding to  $\equiv$  out explicitly.

$$[a] = \{a, b, c\}$$

$$[b] = \{a, b, c\}$$

$$[c] = \{a, b, c\}$$

$$[d] = \{d\}$$

$$[e] = \{e\}$$

$$[a] = [b] = [c] = \{a, b, c\}$$

$$[d] = \{d\}$$

$$[e] = \{e\}$$

Great!

- b) Suppose that  $P$  is the partition  $\{\{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, e\}\}$  of the set  $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ . Find the relation  $R$  corresponding to  $P$ .

$$R = \{(a,a), (b,b), (b,d), (d,b), (d,d), (c,c), (c,e), (e,c), (e,e)\}$$

Exactly.

3. Let  $R$  be a relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $x R y \Leftrightarrow y \neq 5$ . Determine whether  $R$  is reflexive, symmetric, or transitive, and support your conclusions well.

Reflexive? No.  $5 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and if we set  $x=5$ , then  $xRx$  is false, since 5 is now in the second position.

A relation must be reflexive for all of the elements of the set it's on.

Symmetric? No.

$$x=5 \quad y=2 \rightarrow xRy \text{ because } y \neq 5$$

However, y is not related to x, because  $x=5$

Transitive? Yes.

Let's take arbitrary elements  $a, b$ , and  $c$ .

$$\text{Say } aRb \Leftrightarrow b \neq 5$$

$$bRc \Leftrightarrow c \neq 5$$

In order for  $aRc$  to work, the only requirement is that  $c \neq 5$ . This has already been established, though. Thus,  $(\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}) [aRb \wedge bRc \Rightarrow aRc]$  and the relation is thus transitive.  $\square$

Excellent

4. Let  $m$  be a natural number, and let  $\equiv_m = \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a - b = km \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Show that  $\equiv_m$  is an equivalence relation (this relation is usually called *congruence modulo  $m$* ).

$\equiv_m$  is reflexive because  $a - a = 0$ , so  $a - a = 0 \cdot m$  & thus  $(a,a) \in \equiv_m$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\equiv_m$  is symmetric because if  $a - b = km$ ,  $b - a = -km$ . Since each  $k$ 's negative will be an integer if  $k$  is an integer,  $(a,b) \in \equiv_m \rightarrow (b,a) \in \equiv_m$ .

$\equiv_m$  is transitive because if  $a - b = km$  and  $b - c = jm$ , we can say that  $(a - b) + (b - c) = km + jm$ . Therefore  $a - c = (k+j)m$ . Since the sum of two integers will be an integer,  $(a,c) \in \equiv_m$ . Thus,  $[(a,b) \in \equiv_m \wedge (b,c) \in \equiv_m] \rightarrow (a,c) \in \equiv_m$ .

Since  $\equiv_m$  is reflexive, symmetric, & transitive, it is an equivalence relation.

Beautiful.

5. a) Regarding the function  $f: A \rightarrow B$  as a subset of  $A \times B$ , write the definition of  $f$  being onto.

$f$  is onto  $\iff \forall b \in B \exists a \in A$  such that  $(a, b) \in f$ .

b) Recall  $\chi_B$ , the characteristic function of a set  $B$ , from chapter 4; it was defined then by

$$\chi_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin B \end{cases}$$

Let  $A$  be a set and  $B$  be a subset of  $A$ . Write  $\chi_B$  as a subset of  $A \times \{0,1\}$ .

$$\chi_B = \underbrace{B \times \{1\}}_{\substack{\text{This gets ordered} \\ \text{pairs } (b, 1) \text{ for} \\ \text{every } b \in B.}} \cup \underbrace{(A - B) \times \{0\}}_{\substack{\text{This gets ordered} \\ \text{pairs } (a, 0) \text{ for} \\ \text{every } a \text{ that's in} \\ A \text{ but not } B.}}$$