

a) Determine whether the statements $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $\neg P \vee Q$ are equivalent.

Let's write the truth tables for these statements.

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$\neg P$	$\neg P \vee Q$
T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

Great!

As you can see, the truth values for the statements $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $\neg P \vee Q$ are the same in all cases, so the statements are logically equivalent. \square

b) Determine whether the statements $(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow R$ and $(P \Rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)$ are equivalent.

Let's look at the truth tables.

P	Q	R	$P \wedge Q$	$(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow R$	$P \Rightarrow R$	$Q \Rightarrow R$	$(P \Rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T

The statements $(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow R$ and $(P \Rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)$ do not have the same truth values in some cases, so the statements are not logically equivalent.

Good.

2. a) If a divides b and b divides c , then a divides c .

Well, if $a|b$, then $ax = b$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $b|c$, then $by = c$, $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$c = by$$

$$c = (ax)y = a(xy)$$

Since $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ & two integers multiplied result in an integer, c can be expressed as a times an integer. Therefore, $a|c$. \square

Excellent!

b) If $a \equiv_n b$ and $b \equiv_n c$, then $a \equiv_n c$.

Well, if $a \equiv_n b$, $nx = b - a$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $b \equiv_n c$, $ny = c - b$, $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$nx = b - a$$

$$+ ny = c - b$$

$$nx + ny = c - a + b - b = c - a$$

$$n(x+y) = c - a$$

Since $c - a$ can be expressed as n times an integer, we can say $n|c - a$. $\therefore a \equiv_n c$. \square

Great.

3. $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is irrational.

Well, let's assume $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is rational in hopes of a contradiction, so $\sqrt[3]{2} = \frac{a}{b}$ for two relatively prime integers a and b .

$$\text{Now, } \sqrt[3]{2} = \frac{a}{b}$$

$$2 = \frac{a^3}{b^3}$$

$$2b^3 = a^3$$

From this we see that a^3 is even and since an odd number cubed is odd, a is also even.

So we can write a as $2p$ for some integer p .

$$\text{Now, } 2b^3 = (2p)^3$$

$$2b^3 = 8p^3$$

$$b^3 = 4p^3$$

$$b^3 = 2(2p^3)$$

From this we see that b^3 is even and thus b is also even. Now we see that a and b have a common factor, namely 2, and are no longer relatively prime so we have reached a contradiction, as hoped.

Thus, $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is irrational. \square

Wonderful!

No common factors.

4. Prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 2^n > n$.

Well, for $n=0$ we have $2^0 > 0$, which is true.
Now suppose it's true for $n=k$, i.e. $2^k > k$. But it's also true that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 2^n \geq 1$ (see Lemma), so $2^k \geq 1$, and adding gives $2^k + 2^k > k + 1$, or $2 \cdot 2^k > k + 1$, or $2^{k+1} > k + 1$. So since the statement holds for $n=0$, and when it's true for k it's also true for $k+1$, by Mathematical Induction it's true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Lemma: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 2^n \geq 1$.

Proof: Well, when $n=0$, $2^0 \geq 1$.

Now suppose it holds for $n=k$, so $2^k \geq 1$. Then multiplying by 2 gives $2 \cdot 2^k \geq 2 \cdot 1$ or $2^{k+1} \geq 2$, and $2 \geq 1$, so $2^{k+1} \geq 1$. Thus since it's true for $n=0$ and when it's true for k it's also true for $k+1$, by Mathematical Induction it's true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Want:

$$2^{k+1} > k + 1$$

$$2^k \cdot 2 > k + 1$$

$$2^k + 2^k > k + 1$$

Scratch -
pretend it's
not here!

5. If x is a rational, with $x \neq 0$, and y is irrational, then xy is irrational.

Well lets assume xy is rational. x is rational and y is irrational. So $xy = \frac{p}{q}$ and $x = \frac{r}{s}$ where $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q, r, s \neq 0$

~~so~~ so lets substitute $\frac{r}{s}y = \frac{p}{q}$

$$\frac{ry}{s} = \frac{p}{q}$$

$$ry = \frac{ps}{q}$$

$$y = \frac{ps}{rq} \quad \text{and since } p, s, r, q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

ps is an integer

and rq is also a non zero integer

so y is rational. But we said y was irrational therefore contradicting the assumption we could write xy as a rational number $\therefore xy$ is irrational.

Well done.