

- For any sets A, B, C and D , if $A \subseteq B$ and $C \subseteq D$, then $A \cup C \subseteq B \cup D$.

Let $x \in A \cup C$, so $x \in A$ or $x \in C$.

In the case $x \in A$, we know $A \subseteq B$, meaning every element of A is also an element of B , so $x \in B$ as well. If $x \in B$, $x \in B \cup D$ as well, so $A \cup C \subseteq B \cup D$.

In the case $x \in C$, we know $C \subseteq D$, meaning every element of C is also an element of D , so $x \in D$ as well. If $x \in D$, $x \in B \cup D$ as well. $A \cup C \subseteq B \cup D$.

So, in either case, $A \cup C \subseteq B \cup D$ as desired. \square

Great

2. a) Suppose that $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a > b$ and $c > d$, then $a + c > b + d$.

We know $a > b$.

By CAP, $a + c > b + c$.

We also know $c > d$.

By CAP, $c + b > d + b$.

Combining these, we
set $a + c > b + c > d + b$
and by the transitive
property, $a + c > b + d$. \square

Side note
 $b + c = c + b$

Great

- b) Suppose that $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a > b$ and $c > d$, then $a - c > b - d$.

This is not generally true.

Let $a = 2$, $b = -1$, $c = 6$, and $d = -3$.

$a > b$ as $2 > -1$, and $c > d$ as $6 > -3$.

HOWEVER, $a - c \neq b - d$ as

$$2 - 6 \neq -1 - -3$$

$$-4 \neq 2.$$

SO we have reached a counter example.

Excellent

3. For each $x \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = (-1, n]$.

a) What is $\bigcap_{n \in \{1, 2, 3\}} A_n$? $A_1 = (-1, 1]$

$$A_2 = (-1, 2]$$

$$A_3 = (-1, 3]$$

$$\bigcap_{n \in \{1, 2, 3\}} A_n = (-1, 1]$$



b) What is $\bigcup_{n \in \{1, 2, 3\}} A_n$?

$$\bigcup_{n \in \{1, 2, 3\}} A_n = (-1, 3]$$

c) What is $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$? $A_0 = (-1, 0]$ is contained in all the others, but nothing outside A_0 is in all of them, so

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = (-1, 0]$$

d) What is $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$?

Everything to the right of -1 is in here, since for any such real number, there's an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ bigger, so A_n contains that real number, so

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = (-1, \infty)$$

$$4. \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -|x| \leq x \leq |x|.$$

Case 1: $x < 0$

By definition of absolute value, $|x| = -x$ which can be written as $|x| \geq -x$. Also $-|x| = x$ can be written as $-|x| \leq x$. Then $x < 0$, so add $-x$ to both sides (by C&P) to get $0 < -x$. Then, combining all of these, we have $-|x| \leq x < 0 < -x \leq |x|$, and by the transitive prop. of inequality, $-|x| \leq x \leq |x|$.

Case 2: $x \geq 0$

By def. of absolute value, $|x| = x$ which can be written as $|x| \geq x$. Also, $-|x| = -x$ can be written as $-|x| \leq -x$. Then $x \geq 0$, so adding $-x$ to both sides gives $0 \geq -x$ (by C&P). Combining all of these, $-|x| \leq -x \leq 0 \leq x \leq |x|$, and using the transitive property of inequality, $-|x| \leq x \leq |x|$.

Therefore, since this is true for all possible cases, we can conclude that $-|x| \leq x \leq |x|$. \square

Well done.

5. Let I be a set and for each $i \in I$ let A_i be a set. Then $\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)' = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i'$.

Let $x \in \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)'$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x \in X & \quad \cancel{\text{if } x \in X} \quad \wedge (\exists i \in I : x \in A_i) \\ \Rightarrow x \in X & \quad \wedge \cancel{\forall i \in I, \neg(x \in A_i)} \\ \Rightarrow x \in X & \quad \wedge \cancel{\forall i \in I ; x \notin A_i} \\ \Rightarrow (\cancel{\forall i \in I ; (x \in X)} \quad \wedge \quad \underline{x \notin A_i}) \\ \Rightarrow \cancel{\forall i \in I ; x \in A_i} \\ \Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i' \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)' \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i'$ (1)

Let $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i'$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \forall i \in I, x \in A_i' \\ \Rightarrow \forall i \in I, \cancel{(x \in X \wedge \neg(x \in A_i))} \\ \Rightarrow x \in X \wedge \cancel{(\forall i \in I, \neg(x \in A_i))} \\ \Rightarrow x \in X \wedge \cancel{\neg(\exists i \in I, x \in A_i)} \\ \Rightarrow x \in \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)' \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i' \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)'$ (2)

From (1) and (2), $\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)' = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i'$

Good Job